



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,126	11/03/2003	Michael A. Lassner	200311849-1	9576

22879 7590 12/29/2005

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

HASSAN, AURANGZEB

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2182

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,126	LASSNER, MICHAEL A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aurangzeb Hassan	2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

3. In reference to claims 1, 7, 10 –15, the examiner notes claims to consist of non-statutory subject matter in the embodiment of a method and system for implementing device regionalization thus not exemplifying one of the four statutory categories. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract, since the claims do not appear to involve and hardware.

4. In reference to claims 16 – 28 , the examiner notes reference to systems and a driver “stored on a computer readable medium.” In the specification the applicant defines such medium in various modes one of which being “electronic” (page 8, line 10) and according is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being non-statutory. For further consideration the examiner also recommends modification of the initially noted phrase to recite “computer readable storage medium.”

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2182

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 1 thru 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (US Publication Number 2004/0212651 hereinafter “Johnson”).

7. As per claims 1, 10, 16, 21, 24 and 27 Johnson teaches a method, system, device, and driver comprising:

identifying a region code (printer unique identifier, page 1 paragraph [0014]);

establishing a region for a device relative to the identical region code (remote printer management system, element 200 of Figure 4, page 3, paragraph [0039-0041]);
and

presenting information to a device user about components that can be used with the device relative to the established region (web site, element 212 of Figure 4, page 3, paragraph [0039]).

The examiner notes that Johnson teaches a remote management system for which the printer unique identifier, PUI, is used to connect a printer, computing device and web site. The web site maintains and handles the region in which the printer resides.

8. As per claims 2 and 11 Johnson teaches a method and system wherein identifying a region code comprises reading a region code embedded into a device component (PUI, element 14 of figure 1, page 1, paragraph [0014 - 0015]).

Johnson teaches the PUI to uniquely identify the printer and is stored in the memory of the printer component.

9. As per claim 3 Johnson teaches a method wherein identifying a region code comprises reading a region code embedded into a print cartridge that is installed within the device (ink jet cartridge, page 3, paragraph [0030]).

10. As per claim 4 Johnson teaches a method wherein establishing a region comprises storing the identification region code in device memory (memory, element 13 of figure 2, page 2, paragraph [0020]).

11. As per claims 5, 14, 17, 22 and 25 Johnson teaches a method, system and device wherein establishing a region further comprises locking the region code for the device (page 2, paragraph [0017]).

Johnson teaches utilization of a locking feature in a multifaceted approach in regards to component locking that is unit specific.

12. As per claims 6, 15, 18, 23 and 26 Johnson teaches a method, system and device wherein locking the region code comprises determining the number of pages that have been printed by the device and locking the region code if the number of pages reaches a predetermined threshold (page 3, paragraph [0030]).

Johnson teaches ink level monitoring in order to properly recognize depletion of ink on a number of printed pages and incorporates a locking mechanism.

13. As per claims 7 and 28 Johnson teaches a method and device wherein presenting information comprises providing the region code to a user computer (computing device, element 30 of figure 2, page 3, and paragraphs [0038-0041]).

14. As per claims 8, 13 and 20 Johnson teaches a method and system wherein presenting information further comprises accessing a database that cross-references the region code with components available for the device so as to limit presentation to information concerning components intended for use in the established region (printer component monitor, element 46 of figure 2, paragraphs [0016 & 0025]).

Johnson teaches a comparison of the PUI versus the one stored in database memory of a printer component. The printer component monitor manages the printer components and PUI's don't match the use is limited. Application over a larger region is included through the access of web site through a computing device.

15. As per claims 9, 12 and 19 Johnson teaches a method and system wherein providing the region code comprises providing the region code to a device driver that executes on the user computer and wherein accessing a database comprises accessing the database with the device driver (printer driver, element 104 of figure 2, page 3, paragraph [0032]).

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aurangzeb Hassan whose telephone number is (571)272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


KIM HUYNH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/27/5